

Artemis: how CERT PL improves the security of the Polish internet

Krzysztof Zając 69th TF-CSIRT Meeting 2023-05-24, Bucharest context

old) attribut

libxml end element ca

tic void EndElement(void

Purpose

- Checking the security of websites and systems used by institutions in our constituency:
 - schools
 - universities
 - government institutions
 - local government and public utility companies
 - hospitals
- Improving the security of these systems by reporting the vulnerabilities



Legal basis

Act of National Cybersecurity System Legislation (NIS1 implementation) article 26 tasks of CSIRTs:

- monitoring threats and incidents on a country level
- providing information to entities in the national cybersecurity system 2.
- in justified cases: conducting vulnerability research of a device or software 3.
- 4. developing tools to (...) detect and combat cybersecurity threats (...).

Article 32 allows us to do "any necessary technical actions" to analyze cybersecurity threats



Legal basis

- We are the registrar for the .pl domain we can put a clause that allows us to scan in gov.pl rules.
- In some cases agreements with other CSIRTs or institutions directly responsible ____ for a system.
- Backup: penal code article 269c. — The Polish Criminal Code penalises breaking into someone else's IT systems, but has an explicit exception for when it's done for security purposes, without breaking anything and if the issue was immediately reported.
- NIS2 implementation upcoming. —



Design goals

- Low amount of manual vulnerability analysis: heuristics to filter true from false positives
- Low load on scanned systems: per-host rate limiting
- Reusing existing tools

- Scalability

. . .

- Easy integration of a new tool
- Flexible scanning pipeline
 - work with domains, HTTP services, WordPress instances,



- Finds subdomains using open-source sources (crt.sh, Common Crawl, Wayback Machine, ...):

example.com \rightarrow mail.example.com, old.example.com

- Detects DNS misconfigurations:
 - Zone transfer,
 - Subdomain takeover.



- Performs port scanning and service identification (is this a website? a database?).
- Finds backups and other interesting files (e.g. /wp-config.php.bak) using brute-force.
- Brute-forces weak passwords (FTP, PostgreSQL, MySQL i WordPress).



Detects directory index:

Index of /

<u>Name</u> <u>Last modified</u> <u>Size Description</u>

backup 20190215.zip 2019-02-15 22:21 66M



Detects known vulnerabilities using Nuclei:

CVE-2023-28343, CVE-2023-23489, CVE-2023-23488, CVE-2022-47986, CVE-2022-47966, CVE-2022-47945, CVE-2022-47003, CVE-2022-47002, CVE-2022-46169, CVE-2022-45933, CVE-2022-45917, CVE-2022-45805, CVE-2022-44877, CVE-2022-4447, CVE-2022-43769, CVE-2022-42233, CVE-2022-41840, CVE-2022-4117, CVE-2022-40881, CVE-2022-40684, CVE-2022-4063, CVE-2022-4060, CVE-2022-4050, CVE-2022-40083, CVE-2022-39952, CVE-2022-3982, CVE-2022-38637, CVE-2022-37042, CVE-2022-36642, CVE-2022-36446, CVE-2022-35914, CVE-2022-35413, CVE-2022-35405, CVE-2022-34045, CVE-2022-33965, CVE-2022-32429, CVE-2022-32409, CVE-2022-32094, CVE-2022-31814, CVE-2022-31656, CVE-2022-31499, CVE-2022-31126, CVE-2022-30525, CVE-2022-30512, CVE-2022-29775, CVE-2022-29464, CVE-2022-29383, CVE-2022-29303, CVE-2022-29078, CVE-2022-29009, CVE-2022-29007, CVE-2022-29006, CVE-2022-28219, CVE-2022-27927, CVE-2022-27593, CVE-2022-26960, CVE-2022-26833, CVE-2022-26352, CVE-2022-26148, CVE-2022-26138, CVE-2022-26134, CVE-2022-25369, CVE-2022-25125, CVE-2022-26833, CVE-2022-2488, CVE-2022-2487, CVE-2022-2486, CVE-2022-24816, CVE-2022-2467, CVE-2022-2369, CVE-2022-23944, CVE-2022-23888, CVE-2022-2487, CVE-2022-23178, CVE-2022-24816, CVE-2022-23131, CVE-2022-2460, CVE-2022-24112, CVE-2022-23944, CVE-2022-23888, CVE-2022-23881, CVE-2022-2947, CVE-2022-24816, CVE-2022-2185, CVE-2022-2477, CVE-2022-2455, CVE-2022-23944, CVE-2022-2954, CVE-2022-2947, CVE-2022-2314, CVE-2022-2185, CVE-2022-21570, CVE-2022-23711, CVE-2022-2954, CVE-2022-2947, CVE-2022-1391, CVE-2022-2185, CVE-2022-21570, CVE-2022-21371, CVE-2022-1952, CVE-2022-1609, CVE-2022-1574, CVE-2022-1391, CVE-2022-1388, CVE-2022-1386, CVE-2022-1329, CVE-2022-1162, CVE-2022-1607, CVE-2022-1040, CVE-2022-1020, CVE-2022-1013...



- Checks e-mail configuration (SPF, DMARC, open relay).
- Detects SQL Injection vulnerabilities.
- Detects accidentally published VCS repositories.
- Performs version check for WordPress, Joomla and WordPress plugins.
- Verifies SSL/TLS configuration.





Add targets

Targets (separated with newlines)

Batch file (should contain one target per line)

Choose File

No file chosen

Tag

You may provide any string here - it will be saved in the task results in the database so that you can e.g. use the value when processing the results automatically.

Start scan

Raw results

artemis Add targets View targets View results Task queue Restart crashed tasks API

Analysis of test.local

Tasks

 $\langle \! \circ \! \rangle$

All interesting findings All tasks Show 100 entries Search: task receiver created at 11 11 headers status: reason 2023-02-20 Found ports: 8001 (service: http ssl: False), 8002 (service: http ssl: False), port_scanner test.local origin:classifier 8008 (service: http ssl: False), 8011 (service: http ssl: False) 08:47:36 type:domain mail_dns_scanner test.local Found problems: DMARC record is not present 2023-02-20 origin:classifier 08:47:44 type:domain Found directories with index enabled: http://test.local:8001/files/ directory_index 2023-02-20 test.local:8001 origin:port_scanner 08:47:54 type:service service:http Found version control system data: git 2023-02-20 test.local:8002 VCS origin:port_scanner 08:47:56 service:http type:service Found ports: 8001 (service: http ssl: False), 8002 (service: http ssl: False), 213.32.88.99 2023-02-20 port_scanner INTERESTING origin:classifier type:ip 08:47:56 8008 (service: http ssl: False), 8011 (service: http ssl: False)

Showing 1 to 5 of 5 entries (filtered from 43 total entries)



Example e-mail

- The following addresses contain version control system data:
 - https:// :443/.git/

Making a code repository public may allow an attacker to learn the inner workings of a system, and if it contains passwords or API keys - also gain unautorized access. Such data shouldn't be publicly available.

2. The following addresses contain old Joomla versions:

- https:// :443 - Joomla 2.5.4

If a site is no longer used, we recommend shutting it down to eliminate the risk of exploitation of known vulnerabilities in older Joomla versions. Otherwise, we recommend regular Joomla core and plugin updates.

- The following domains don't have properly configured e-mail sender verification mechanisms: 3.
- .pl: Valid SPF record not found

pl: Valid DMARC record not found

Such configuration may allow an attacker to send spoofed e-mail messages from these domains.



Artemis is open-source

https://github.com/CERT-Polska/Artemis/

We invite you to use Artemis and add your own modules!

Not all modules are open-source yet - we are currently open-sourcing the

module to build e-mails.



How to write a new module

Let's assume you want to check whether **the** URL contains the string suspicious.

```
class CustomScanner (ArtemisBase):
    # Module name that will be displayed
    identity = "custom"
```

Types of tasks that will be consumed by the module - here, # open ports that were identified as containing a HTTP/HTTPS service. filters = [{"type": TaskType.SERVICE, "service": Service.HTTP}]

```
def run(self, task: Task) -> None:
    # Will convert the identified service to the form of a URL,
    # e.g. http://domain.com:8001/
    url = get target url (task)
```

```
if "suspicious" in url:
   status = TaskStatus.INTERESTING
   reason = "suspicious link detected!"
else:
```

```
status = TaskStatus.OK
reason = None
```

self.db.save task result (task=task, status=status, status reason=reason)



Modules can produce/consume various types of objects



NASK

sqlmap

if an application is not a known CMS, checks for SQL injections

wp_scanner

Checks e.g. whether a website is running an up-todate version of WordPress

Alternatives (1/2)

Osmedeus

The data flow uses text files \rightarrow hard to have a robust data flow.

reNgine

The purpose of the system is different, we would need to manage a fork.

reconFTW

Written in Bash, therefore hard to extend in a robust way.



Alternatives (2/2)



Do not do reconnaissance.

Can be used as part of the pipeline if we already know the subdomains. Provides only a subset of information: ports and services on these ports.

nmap

Even with script support it would be hard to adapt to e.g. enumerate subdomains.



zmap / masscan

Solves one problem well: port scanning. Not able to build pipelines out of multiple types of tools.

Scanning

We've been scanning the websites since **January**.

We have already scanned ~31k domains and IP addresses and ~85k subdomains.



Reported issues in 2023 so far (January-May)

- ~21.5k SSL/TLS misconfigurations
- ~14.1k SPF/DMARC misconfigurations
- ~9.2k obsolete Joomla, WordPress or WordPress plugin versions
- ~5.4k information leaks: AXFR, directory listing, phpinfo(), etc.
- ~1.5k high/critical vulnerabilities from Nuclei or sqlmap
- 697 exposed backups, source code, database dumps or logs
- 75 exposed RDPs



~52.4k in total

Reporting and reactions

Our current workflow:

- 1. a package of reports is prepared semi-automatically
- 2. 1st line sends e-mails to best-known contacts
- 1st line manages the follow-up communication (when needed) 3.

Responses are mostly positive, but:

- they sometimes include bug reports (which are frequently correct!)
- sometimes the institutions report false positives —
- sometimes we need to fix the contacts
- sometimes we are ignored
- sometimes the institutions fix the vulnerabilities without responding



Challenges

- Distinguishing true from false positives Example: if we detect that /wp-config.php.bak is present, we need to check whether it is indeed an exposed configuration file. We have lots of heuristics to keep the number of false positives low.
- Rate limiting in distributed environment Making sure no server is overloaded with requests is tricky with multiple modules.
- Scanning is slow The biggest cause is the per-host limiting behavior.

- Deduplication We need heuristics to detect whether two similar vulnerabilities on institution.com and www.institution.com are in fact one.
- Contact database Maintaining an up-to-date contact database requires significant effort.
- Running a non-trivial production service We have a medium-scale service where we sometimes need to troubleshoot unexpected administrative problems.
- Prioritizing the scans



Conclusion for administrators

Yes, they seem obvious - but following them would greatly decrease the number of problems found by Artemis.



Conclusion for administrators: updates

Detecting obsolete software versions with known bugs is **easy**. Exploits for known vulnerabilities exist.





Conclusion for administrators: archived websites

Outside check allows to find archived or forgotten websites that can:

- use obsolete software (containing known vulnerabilities),
- be built without following of modern software engineering practices: query("SELECT * FROM posts WHERE id = " . \$ GET["id"])

Control what is exposed.



Conclusion for administrators: security by obscurity

Scanners can (and will) find:

- /backup.zip placed temporarily on the server, _
- a test subdomain.







Conclusion for administrators: configuration files, logs, backups, code repositories...

https://[domain]:443/.git

https://[domain]:443/uploads/

https://[domain]:443/config.inc https://[domain]:443/config.php.save https://[domain]:443/configuration.php.bak https://[domain]:443/configuration.php.save https://[domain]:443/wp-config.php~ https://[domain]:443/wp-config.php.bak https://[domain]:443/wp-config.php.old https://[domain]:443/wp-config.php.save



. . .

Conclusion for developers: Roundcube misconfiguration - a case study







Conclusion for developers: Roundcube misconfiguration

Index of /webmail/temp

NASK

	Name		Last modified	<u>Size</u>	Description
Parent Directory	<u>X</u>			-	
2 <u>0a0</u>		.thumb	2020-02-17 14:13	6.8K	
2 <u>0a5</u>		<u>thumb</u>	2019-12-05 22:52	8.2K	
<u>0b</u> 8		<u>thumb</u>	2020-04-01 21:13	8.4K	
<u>Obe</u>		.thumb	2020-02-14 08:56	6.8K	
🔁 <u>Obc</u>		<u>f.thumb</u>	2019-02-03 20:35	6.8K	
2 <u>0c1</u>		thumb	2020-04-01 20:58	6.5K	
0 c3		<u>ı.thumb</u>	2019-11-15 00:10	7.2K	
<u>Odc</u>		humb	2020-04-01 20:46	9.4K	
2 <u>0e6</u>		thumb	2020-04-01 20:46	13K	
🔁 <u>0ea</u>		thumb	2020-04-01 21:13	9.7K	
2 <u>Oed</u>		<u>1.thumb</u>	2019-10-13 23:19	8.6K	
2 Oed		.thumb	2020-09-15 10:11	9.4K	
<pre>CERT.PL>_</pre>					

Conclusion for developers: Roundcube misconfiguration

C @ github.com/roundcube/roundcubemail/blob/master/INSTALL

101	
168	SECURE YOUR INSTALLATION
169	
170	
171	Access through the webserver to the following directories should be denied:
172	
173	/config
174	/temp
175	/logs
176	
177	Roundcube uses .htaccess files to protect these directories, so be sure to
178	allow override of the Limit directives to get them taken into account. The
179	package also ships a .htaccess file in the root directory which defines some
180	rewrite rules. In order to properly secure your installation, please enable
181	mod_rewrite for Apache webserver and double check access to the above listed
182	directories and their contents is denied.

Why this is not a good approach? What conclusions can we draw?



Conclusion for CSIRTs

- Unfortunately, there are still low-hanging vulnerabilities
- Many good offensive tools are available even plain Nuclei or WordPress/Joomla version check would find many vulnerabilities
- Not a huge project: currently 1 FTE: development + operations Managing contact list and sending prepared e-mails not included.
- Iterative development contributed to the project success Instead of building the best scanner possible, we built a MVP with a subset of modules and ran initial scans. During scans, we observed bugs, fixed them, but also added new modules.



It is easy to start a similar project and improve the security of your constituency



Plans

- Develop the system:
 - add modules to detect new vulnerabilities
 - autoreporter open source and make fully automatic
- Regularly scan multiple groups of domains (including most popular .pl domains)



urn

raise Chall⊂

log.info('correct f except (IntegrityError db.session.rollb

db.session.commit try:

db.session.add(sc

solve = Solve

user = current

not challenge.flag.strip() == flag.strip(): log.info('incorrect flag', {'challenge': challenge, 'flag': flag}) WrongFlagException()

cest = repository.contests['by_slug'][challenge.contest]

hallenge, flag): ent session.is authenticated: ChallengesService.UserNotAuthenticated()

cenge.min_points + (challenge.max_points - challenge.min_points) /
(max(0, solves - 1) / 11.92201) ** 1.206069)))

points

(ves):

https://github.com/ **CERT-Polska/Artemis**

challenge, 'flag': flag})

eAlreadySolved()

_id=challenge.id, contest_id=contest.id)

cont conte



Questions?