#### **Incident Classification**

Overview
Don Stikvoort
don@elsinore.nl
23 May 2013

Work partially sponsored by SURFnet

## Taxonomies – pros and cons



- systemisation and regularisation of work
- an opportunity to produce statistics
- an opportunity to observe trends
- a means of communication with management and the media
- a common language for naming threats



SONS

- an increase in the complexity of incident work
- an increase in the duration of Incident Handling
- not a real picture of internet threats
- the difficulty of ambiguous classification

Source: <a href="http://www.enisa.europa.eu/activities/cert/support/incident-management">http://www.enisa.europa.eu/activities/cert/support/incident-management</a>

## CERT.LV taxonomy (i) - descriptive

- attacks on the critical infrastructure
- attacks on the Internet infrastructure, e.g. root or systemlevel attacks on any Server System, or any part of the backbone network infrastructure, denial of service attacks
- deliberate persistent attacks on specific resources, i.e. any compromise which leads or may lead to unauthorised access of systems
- widespread automated attacks against Internet sites, e.g. sniffing attacks, IRC "social engineering" attacks, password cracking attacks
- threats, harassment, and other criminal offences involving individual user accounts;

## CERT.LV taxonomy (ii) - descriptive

- new types of attacks or new vulnerabilities
- botnets, i.e. activities related to network of compromised systems controlled by a party which is a source of incident
- denial of service on individual user accounts, e.g. mail bombing
- forgery and misrepresentation, and other securityrelated violations of local rules and regulations, e.g. e-mail forgery, SPAM and etc.
- compromise of single desktop systems
- copyright violation.

## SURFcert taxonomy - KISS

- (Administrative)
- Content
- Vulnerable
- Spam
- Abusive
- Probe
- Denial

## eCSIRT.net taxonomy - venerable

- Dates 2003, with thanks to Jimmy Arvidsson
- http://www.ecsirt.net/
- Used by several teams in Europe
  - With additions like phishing
- A tad outdated, but still useful!

| Incident Class (mandatory input field) | Incident Type<br>(optional but desired input field) | Description / Examples   |
|--|---|--|
| Abusive<br>Content                     | Spam  | or "Unsolicited Bulk Email", this means that the recipient has not granted verifiable permission for the message to be sent and that the message is sent as part of a larger collection of messages, all having an identical content.                                |
|  | Harassment  | Discreditation or discrimination of somebody (i.e. Cyberstalking)  |
|  | Child/Sexual/Violence/                              | Child Pornography, glorification of violence,  |
| Malicious<br>Code                      | Virus   |  |
|  | Worm  | Software that is intentionally included or inserted in a   |
|  | Trojan  | system for a harmful purpose. A user interaction is  |
|  | Spyware   | normally necessary to activate the code.   |
|  | Dialer  |  |
| Information<br>Gathering               | Scanning  | Attacks that send requests to a system to discover weak points. This includes also some kind of testing processes to gather information about hosts, services and accounts. Examples: fingerd, DNS querying, ICMP, SMTP (EXPN, RCPT,).                               |
|  | Sniffing  | Observing and recording of network traffic (wiretapping).  |
|  | Social Engineering                                  | Gathering information from a human being in a non-technical way (e.g. lies, tricks, bribes, or threats).   |
| Intrusion<br>Attempts                  | Exploiting of known<br>Vulnerabilities              | An attempt to compromise a system or to disrupt any service by exploiting vulnerabilities with a standardised identifier such as CVE name (e.g. buffer overflow, backdoors, cross side scripting, etc.).  Multiple login attempts (Guessing / cracking of passwords) |

| Attempts                | Login attempts   | Multiple login attempts (Guessing / cracking of passwords, brute force).   |
|-------------------------|--|--|
|                         | new attack signature   | An attempt using an unknown exploit.   |
| Intrusions              | Privileged Account Compromise Unprivileged Account Compromise Application Compromise | A successful compromise of a system or application (service). This can have been caused remote by a known or new vulnerability, but also by an unauthorized local access.  |
| Availability            | DoS  | By this kind of an attack a system is bombarded with so many packets that the operations are delayed or the system crashes. Examples of a remote DoS are SYS- a. PING- flooding or E-mail bombing (DDoS: TFN, Trinity, etc.). However, the availability also can be affected by local actions (destruction, disruption of power supply, etc.). |
|                         | DDoS   |  |
|                         | Sabotage   |  |
| Information<br>Security | Unauthorised access to information   | Besides a local abuse of data and systems the information security can be endangered by a successful account or application compromise. Furthermore attacks are possible that intercepts and access information during transmission (wiretapping, spoofing or hijacking).  |
|                         | Unauthorised modification of information   | Besides a local abuse of data and systems the information security can be endangered by a successful account or application compromise. Furthermore attacks are possible that intercepts and access information during transmission (wiretapping, spoofing or hijacking).  |
| Fraud                   | Unauthorized use of resources  | Using resources for unauthorized purposes including profit-making ventures (E.g. the use of e-mail to participate in illegal profit chain letters or pyramid schemes).   |
|                         | Copyright  | Selling or Installing copies of unlicensed commercial software or other copyright protected materials (Warez).   |

#### eCSIRT.net mkll

- Change/add only what is strictly needed
- Backwards compatibility
  - Well known quantity
  - Continue to enable comparisons
- Kept "Incident Class" but changed "Incident Type" into "Incident Examples"
  - Too much granularity does NOT help
- Work done in 2012 by DS with many thanks to Andrew Cormack, Alf Moens, Peter Peters and Xander Jansen
  - All which is italics below is new or adapted, all the rest is original !!!

#### 1. Abusive Content

**Spam**: "Unsolicited Bulk Email", this means that the recipient has not granted verifiable permission for the message to be sent and that the message is sent as part of a larger collection of messages, all having a *functionally comparable* content.

**Harassment**: Discreditation or discrimination of somebody (e.g. cyberstalking, racism and threats against one or more individuals)

**Child/Sexual/ Violence/...**: Child Pornography, glorification of violence, ...

#### 2. Malicious Code

Virus:

Worm:

**Trojan**:

Spyware:

Dialer:

Rootkit:

Software that is intentionally included or inserted in a system for a harmful purpose. A user interaction is normally necessary to activate the code.

## 3. Information Gathering

**Scanning**: Attacks that send requests to a system to discover weak points. This includes also some kind of testing processes to gather information about hosts, services and accounts. Examples: fingerd, DNS querying, ICMP, SMTP (EXPN, RCPT, ...), port scanning.

**Sniffing**: Observing and recording of network traffic (wiretapping).

**Social Engineering**: Gathering information from a human being in a non---technical way (e.g. lies, tricks, bribes, or threats).

## 4. Intrusion Attempts

**Exploiting of known Vulnerabilities**: An attempt to compromise a system or to disrupt any service by exploiting vulnerabilities with a standardised identifier such as CVE name (e.g. buffer overflow, backdoors, cross site scripting, etc.).

**Login attempts**: Multiple login attempts (Guessing / cracking of passwords, brute force).

**New attack signature**: An attempt using an unknown exploit.

#### 5. Intrusions

Privileged Account Compromise:
Unprivileged Account Compromise:
Application Compromise:
Bot:

A successful compromise of a system or application (service). This can have been caused remote by a known or new vulnerability, but also by an unauthorized local access. *Also includes being part of a botnet*.

## 6. Availability

DoS:

DDoS:

Sabotage:

#### Outage (no malice):

By this kind of an attack a system is bombarded with so many packets that the operations are delayed or the system crashes. DoS examples are ICMP and SYN floods, Teardrop attacks and mail-bombing. DDoS often is based on DoS attacks originating from botnets, but also other scenarios exist like DNS Amplification attacks.

However, the availability also can be affected by local actions (destruction, disruption of power supply, etc.) – or by Act of God, spontaneous failures or human error, without malice or gross neglect being involved.

## 7. Information Content Security

# Unauthorised access to information: Unauthorised modification of information:

Besides a local abuse of data and systems the information security can be endangered by a successful account or application compromise. Furthermore attacks are possible that intercepts and access information during transmission (wiretapping, spoofing or hijacking). Human/configuration/software error can also be the cause.

#### 8. Fraud

**Unauthorized use of resources**: Using resources for unauthorized purposes including profit-making ventures (E.g. the use of e-mail to participate in illegal profit chain letters or pyramid schemes).

**Copyright**: Offering or Installing copies of unlicensed commercial software or other copyright protected materials (Warez).

**Masquerade**: Type of attacks in which one entity illegitimately assumes the identity of another in order to benefit from it.

**Phishing**: Masquerading as another entity in order to persuade the user to reveal a private credential.

#### 9. Vulnerable

**Open for abuse**: Open resolvers, world readable printers, vulnerability apparent from Nessus etc scans, virus signatures not up-to-date, etc

#### 10. Other

All incidents which don't fit in one of the given categories should be put into this class:

If the number of incidents in this category increases, it is an indicator that the classification scheme must be revised.

#### 11. Test

**Meant for testing**: Meant for testing

### Work in Progress

Discussed eCSIRT.net mkII with Rob McMillan (formerly AUScert, now Gartner) and Rogier Spoor (SURFnet):

- Agreement on validity and usefulness of classification
- Advantages:
  - Backwards compatibility: you can use it tomorrow
  - Relatively straightforward and intuitive
- Disadvantages:
  - How to compare an apple with a pear ?
     (e.g. Intrusion vs Malicious Code)
  - Impact/damage aspect is completely missing
- Model based on 2 dimensions, like attack vector and impact ?
  - DS volunteers any takers ?
  - Get 1 or 2 universities involved ?
  - Maybe this will help: ISO/IEC WD 27035-2.2 ???