

5th TI Review Meeting

Date

 23 Mar 2016 at 15:30 CET via Lifesize Cloud.

View dialing options or install the app:

- <http://lifesizecloud.com/2154636>
- Join as an audio only caller:
 - US: +1-844-572-5683 extension 2154636
 - One Tap Dial: +18445725683,,,2154636#
- International numbers: <https://manage.lifesizecloud.com/#/numbers>

Attendees

- Nicole Harris.
- Lionel Ferette.
- Sigita Jurkynaite.
- Vladimir Bobor.
- Andrea Kropáová.
- Bob van der Kamp

Apologies

- Serge Droz.
- Wilfried Woeber.

Goals

- To consult the TI Review Group on the [legal advice received](#) from Project Moore.
- To agree an agenda and responsibilities for the TI Review consultation in Riga.

Discussion items

Time	Item	Who	Notes
5 mins	Update on Actions	Lionel / Nicole	LF: correction needed on written minutes as the action to talk to TS is on Lionel and Nicole. NH: chose not to circulate any information
20 mins	Discussion on legal advice	Lionel to lead	The advice from the lawyers is that if GÉANT takes a roll in this then a public procurement will be necessary. The option to completely by-pass GÉANT and purchase directly from TI is still a possibility but would require TI to operate on a completely commercial basis. VB: it would appear from the judgement of Project Moore that most of the effort of the TI team is in the processes of certification and accreditation and not in offering technical services , which was an interesting observation. The lawyers also seemed clearer that there should be other people who can offer this. NH: confirmed that the advice from the lawyers could be shared more broadly with the community, along with other documents. Action TIR20160323-01: NH to create a page on the TF-CSIRT website with the document outputs from the TI Review Group.

30 mins	Discussion on structure of consultation meeting in Riga	Lionel to lead	<p>VB: Teams still don't have a good idea of the services because of the confusion between all the different terms used - TI, TF-CSIRT etc. The core part of the service is trust and complexity does not help this. There is an expectation that more sharing will happen than does - the more experienced people realise this tends to happen bilaterally and the initial trust work helps this.</p> <p>POSSIBLE PRESENTATION: What are the structures and why are they are so complex? POSSIBLE QUESTION: Should we change the names of the "tiers" to be TF-CSIRT xx, TF-CSIRT yy ?</p> <p>AK: technical people do not know the details of the structure of the service, but it is hoped that team representatives do. We should divide down into groups and have reporting back. Lionel's background would make him a good candidate for presenting the overview.</p> <p>NH: concerned that we are being asked to procure a service but the community does not necessarily understand what the scope of the service is and that makes doing a successful procurement very difficult. Presentations could be split down perhaps into 3 with some questions and break down the room into topics of discussion. The meeting should be lead by the TI Review group and not by GÉANT.</p> <p>BvdK: one of the goals is to get the procurement right so important that we make things simpler and easier to understand for the community. This will in turn help define a service description that has services in the portfolio that is a good match to actual needs. Could we separate this into questions regarding the future, questions regarding the short-term improvements.</p> <p>NH: do we need to bring in a professional moderator for the session?</p> <p>Possible structure:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Session1: the current situation and why it is so complex. Trust-machina doesn't just happen. (big group) • Session2: what is the scope of the services that people want and how they want it. (breakouts) • Session3: blue-skies future for TI. (breakouts) <p>Action TIR20160323-02: LF to discuss presentation in Riga with BK.</p> <p>Action TIR20160323-03: LF (and BK) to work on possible presentation material</p> <p>Action TIR20160323-04: ALL to discuss online what questions should be posed to the audience and which we really want to drive people to answer. NH will coordinate an online thread on this.</p> <p>Action TIR20160323-05: NH to ask Irina if she knows a possible facilitator and if we have time to hire on before Riga.</p>
20 mins	Actions for after Riga and timescale	Nicole to lead	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Current service period for TI Service is September 2014 - August 2017. NH would like to run the procurement process from July 2016 - December 2016. • There are some changes to the process, such as a mandatory publication in TED and publication of the tenderers and reasons for award / not awarding.
5mins	AOB		AK: would be useful to have a focus at the next meeting of the TI Review group on future service strategy and direction.

Action items

- Action TIR20160323-01:** NH to create a page on the TF-CSIRT website with the document outputs from the TI Review Group.
- Action TIR20160323-02:** LF to discuss presentation in Riga with BK.
- Action TIR20160323-03:** LF (and BK) to work on possible presentation material
- Action TIR20160323-04:** ALL to discuss online what questions should be posed to the audience and which we really want to drive people to answer. NH will coordinate an online thread on this.
- Action TIR20160323-05:** NH to ask Irina if she knows a possible facilitator and if we have time to hire on before Riga.